

State of California Secretary of State

19

Corporate Disclosure Statement (Domestic Stock and Foreign Corporations)

There is no fee for filing the Corporate Disclosure Statement.

IMPORTANT - PLEASE READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING THIS FORM

1. CORPORATE NAME

Science Applications International Corporation

C3567152

FILED

Secretary of State State of California

JUL 0 2 2014

		C 30 ° ()				This Space For Filing Use July					
Independent Auditor											
2,	NAME OF THE INDEPENDENT AUDITOR THAT PI Deloite & Touchs LLP										
3.											
4.	NAME OF THE INDEPENDENT AUDITOR EMPLOY	TED BY THE CORPO	RATION ON THE	DATE OF THIS	STATEMENT, IF DIFFER	ENT FROM ITEM 2					
Dir	ectors and Executive Officers										
5.	NAMES OF DIRECTORS	COMPENSATION	SHARES	OPTIONS	BANKRUPTCY	FRAUD					
	1) Robert A. Bedingfield	\$46,500	2083	6278	TES DNO	TYES DINO					
	2) France A. Cordova	\$40,000	0	0	TYES INO	TYES NO					
	3) Jera A. Drummond	\$40,000	0	0	TYES [] NO	□YES ☑NO					
	IF THE CORPORATION HAS ADDITIONAL DIREC	TORS, COMPLETE	TEM B OF THE A	TTACHMENT (F	ORM SI-PTA)	_					
5a	NAMES OF EXECUTIVE OFFICERS	COMPENSATION	SHARES	OPTIONS	BANKRUPTCY	FRAUD					
	1) John R. Hartley	\$564,497	20,408	B0,643	YES ZINO	YES NO					
	2) Nazzic S. Keene	\$492,390	16,697	97,374	DYES DINO	YES ZNO					
	3) Thomas G. Baybrook	\$471,826	0	40,152		YES NO					
	4) Brian F. Keenan	\$400,000	8,349	48,687	YES NO	YES NO					
	5) Deborah L. James	\$495,785	0	66,921	☐YES ZINO	□YES ØNO					
8b.	CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER (If not named in 8a)		SHARES	OPTIONS	BANKRUPTCY	FRAUD					
	Anthony J. Morago	\$737,019	48,977	174,446	YES INO	TYES ZINO					
В¢.	ADDITIONAL EXECUTIVE OFFICERS (If not named										
	1)				TBANKRUPTCY	IT FRAUD					
	5)				BANKRUPTCY	FRAUD					
	3)				BANKRUPTCY	FRAUD					
	IF MORE SPACE IS NEEDED, ENTER ADDITIONA	I WEDEWATION IN	ITEM O OF THE	ATTACHMENT (<u></u>					
100	ne to Members of the Board of Directors		THE TAXABLE	11 11 10 1 10 10 10 10							
7.	NAMES OF DIRECTORS	DESCRIPTION OF I	OAN (INCLUDING	ONA THUOMA E	TERMS)						
	1) N/A			•••	•	1					
	2)				·						
	3)										
	IF THE CORPORATION HAS MADE ADDITIONAL L	OANS TO DIRECTO	RS. COMPLETE !	TEM C OF THE	ATTACHMENT IFORM S	I-PTA)					
Ade	lition at Statutory Disclosures										
	Has an order for relief been entered in a bankru	otcy case with rest	ect to the como	nation during th	e preceding 10 years?	VES ZINO					
	Has the conporation or any of his subsidiaries been a party to, or any of their property been subject to, any material pending tags; proceedings, as specified by item 103, Part 229 of SEC Regulation S-K? If yes, starch a description.										
10.	Has the corporation been found legally liable										
	yes, attach a description.					□ Aës (\(\overline{\overl					
11. By submitting this Corporate Disclosure Statement to the Secretary of State, the conference certifies the information contained herein, including any attachments, is true and correct.											
() () () () () () () () () ()											
	ul H. Greiner THE OR PRINT NAME OF PERSON COMPLETING THE FO	- Cu		<u> </u>		ne 2 , 2014					
et D	THE UNITED IN PART OF PERSON COMPLETING THE FO	12N	SKINGTORE "		TITLE	DATE					



State of California Secretary of State

Attachment to Corporate Disclosure Statement (Domestic Stock and Foreign Corporations)

(Dome	This Space For Filing	Use Only								
IMPORTANT -	- READ INSTRUCTION	NS BEFORE	COMPLETING T	HIS FORM						
A. CORPORATE NAME Science Applica	ations International Corpo	oration								
B. Additional Directors (Continued from	Item 5 on Form SI-PT)	<u> </u>			-					
NAMES OF DIRECTORS	COMPENSATION	SHARES	OPTIONS	BANKRUPTCY	FRAUD					
		_		_	_					
4) Thomas F. Frist, III	\$46,000	0	<u> </u>	YES NO	YES NO					
5) John J. Hamre 6) Donna S. Morea	\$40,000 \$35,000	2083	0 6278	YES NO	YES NO					
6) Donna S. Morea 7) Edward J. Sanderson, Jr.	\$117,000	0	0	☐YES ØNO	☐YES ☑NO					
8) Steven R. Shane	\$37,000	2083	6278	YES NO	YES NO					
9)				YES NO	YES NO					
10)				YES NO	☐YES ☐NO					
11)				YES NO	TYES NO					
12)				YES NO	☐YES ☐NO					
13)			<u> </u>	YES NO	☐YES ☐NO					
14)				YES NO	☐YES ☐NO					
15)				YES NO	YES NO					
16)				YES NO	YES NO					
17)			<u>-</u>	YES NO	YES NO					
18)				☐YES ☐NO	□YES □NO					
IF THE CORPORATION HAS ADDITIONAL	DIRECTORS, ATTACH ADI	DITIONAL PAGES	AS NEEDED.							
C. Additional Loans to Members of the Board of Directors (Continued from Item 7 on Form SI-PT)										
NAMES OF DIRECTORS	DESCRIPTION OF	LOAN (INCLUDIN	G AMOUNT AND TE	RMS)						
4)										
5)										
6)										
7)										
8)										
IF THE CORPORATION HAS MADE ADDITI	IONAL LOANS TO DIRECTO	ORS, ATTACH ADE	DITIONAL PAGES AS	S NEEDED.						
D. Additional Information (Please referen	ice item number from For	m SI-PT or Form	SI-PTA, as applica	able)						
Item 6.a.										
The share amounts include share units deferred into the Key Executive Stock Deferral Plan.										
Item 9.										
Rem 3.										
See Part 1, Item 3 - Legal Proceedings of SAIC's Form 10-K for fiscal year ended January 31, 2014 filed April 9, 2014.										
https://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/1571123/000119312514135913/d648713d10k.htm										
					İ					
					. i					
SLPTA (REV.01/2013) ADDROVED BY SECRETARY OF STATE										

SCIENCE APPLICATIONS INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION CORPORATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

ITEM 9 - Legal Proceedings

National Center for Critical Information Processing and Storage Contract. In June 2009, the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) filed a complaint against former Parent and several other defendants in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Mississippi relating to the solicitation and award of a task order to provide IT support services to the National Center for Critical Information Processing and Storage run by the Naval Oceanographic Command Major Shared Resource Center (MSRC) located at the Stennis Space Center in Mississippi. This matter originated with a lawsuit filed under seal by a former government employee pursuant to the qui tam provisions of the civil False Claims Act. Former Parent was awarded the task order at issue in April 2004. The DOJ's complaint alleged that prior to the release of the task order solicitation, former Parent's employees and other eventual teammates met with government employees and obtained non-public information not provided to other potential bidders for this work, or received such information in advance of other bidders, giving former Parent and its team an unfair advantage in competing for the task order. The complaint further alleged that the former MSRC director and deputy director took actions calculated to favor former Parent in the bidding process. In September 2011, former Parent and DOJ settled this matter for approximately \$25 million and the lawsuit was dismissed in October 2011. A \$22 million charge was allocated to the Company for fiscal 2019, representing the settlement amount less the \$3 million allocated to the Company for fiscal 2010 relating to this matter.

Other. The Company is involved in various claims and lawsuits arising in the normal conduct of its business, none of which, in the opinion of the Company's management, based upon current information, will likely have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Government Investigations

The Company is routinely subject to investigations and reviews relating to compliance with various laws and regulations with respect to its role as a contractor to federal, state and local government customers and in connection with performing services in countries outside of the United States. Adverse findings in these investigations or reviews can lead to criminal, civil or administrative proceedings, and the Company could face penalties, fines, compensatory damages and suspension or debarment from doing business with governmental agencies. Adverse findings could also have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, consolidated and combined financial position, results of operations and cash flows due to its reliance on government contracts.

U.S. Regulatory Investigations and Reviews

U.S. government agencies, including the Defense Contract Audit Agency, the Defense Contract Management Agency (DCMA) and others, routinely audit and review a contractor's performance on government contracts, indirect rates and pricing practices, and compliance with applicable contracting and procurement laws, regulations and standards. They also review the adequacy of the contractor's compliance with government standards for its business systems, including a contractor's accounting system, earned value management system, estimating system, materials management system, property management system and purchasing system. A finding of significant control deficiencies in a contractor's business systems or a finding of noncompliance with CAS can result in decremented billing rates to U.S. government customers until the control deficiencies are corrected and their remediation is accepted by the DCMA. Both contractors and the U.S. government agencies conducting these audits and reviews have come under increased scrutiny. As a result, audits and reviews have become more rigorous and the standards to which the Company is held are being more strictly interpreted, increasing the likelihood of an audit or review resulting in an adverse outcome.

Pursuant to the Distribution Agreement with former Parent and upon the separation date, the former Parent's recorded liability of \$45 million was allocated to the Company in the amount of \$18 million and former Parent in the amount of \$27 million. This liability represents estimated net amounts to be refunded to customers for potential adjustments for indirect cost audits and compliance with CAS for fiscal 2006 through 2013. Subsequent to the separation, any amounts owed in addition to the \$45 million liability for periods prior to separation will be allocated to former Parent and the Company in proportions determined in accordance with the Distribution Agreement. As of January 31, 2014, the Company has recorded a liability of \$18 million for its current best estimate of net amounts to be refunded to customers for potential adjustments from such audits or reviews of contract costs.

14-990616

Timekeeping Contract with City of New York

In March 2012, in connection with the resolution of certain investigations related to an automated time and attendance and workforce management system (CityTime) that former Parent developed and implemented for certain New York City agencies, former Parent entered into a three year deferred prosecution agreement (DPA) with the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Southern District of New York. Under the terms of the DPA, the U.S. Attorney's Office deferred prosecution of a single criminal count against former Parent, and will dismiss the criminal count at the end of a three year period if former Parent complies with the terms of the DPA. Under the DPA, former Parent agreed, among other things, to retain an independent monitor who will report periodically to the U.S. Attorney's Office and who will have broad authority to monitor and make recommendations on a number of former Parent's policies and practices. The Company is not subject to the criminal count and the agreement to defer prosecution under the DPA. However, the Company will comply with applicable provisions of the DPA, including retaining an independent monitor and related reporting obligations.

In August 2012, former Parent entered into an administrative agreement with the U.S. Army on behalf of all agencies of the U.S. government that confirms its continuing eligibility to enter into and perform contracts with the U.S. government. Under the terms of the administrative agreement, former Parent has agreed, among other things, to maintain a contractor responsibility program having the specific elements described in the administrative agreement, including retaining a monitor and providing certain reports to the U.S. Army. The administrative agreement will continue in effect for five years, provided that former Parent may request earlier termination following completion of three years. The Company notified the U.S. Army that it will comply with the obligations set forth in the administrative agreement following the separation. These obligations include retaining an independent monitor and maintaining a similar contractor responsibility program.

Army Brigade Combat Team Modernization Engineering, Manufacturing and Development (BCTM) Program

The BCTM program was terminated for convenience by the DoD effective in September 2011. From October 2009 through termination, the Company and its prime contractor performed on this program under an undefinitized change order with a provisional billing rate that allowed the Company to receive a lesser amount of the projected fee than the estimated fee due until completion of the contract negotiations. The Company has recognized revenues of approximately \$480 million, including estimated fees, from October 2009 through August 2, 2013 under the undefinitized change order. As of August 2, 2013, the Company had an outstanding receivable of approximately \$2 million on this contract. During fiscal 2013, an agreement in principle was reached between the prime contractor and the DoD; however a formal contract modification has not yet been received.

Letters of Credit and Surety Bonds

The Company has outstanding obligations relating to letters of credit of \$7 million as of January 31, 2014, principally related to guarantees on insurance policies. The Company also has outstanding obligations relating to surety bonds in the amount of \$17 million, principally related to performance and payment bonds on the Company's contracts. The letters of credit and surety bonds initially were obtained by former Parent and the Company is required to satisfy these obligations under the terms of the Distribution Agreement between the Company and former Parent.