

State of California

Secretary of State

Corporate Disclosure Statement (Domestic Stock and Foreign Corporations)

There is no fee for filing the Corporate Disclosure Statement. IMPORTANT — PLEASE READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING THIS FORM

1. CORPORATE NAME

Apple Inc.

FILED

16-990008

Secretary of State State of California

FEB 0 1 2016

2.50 PC

		c0804592		This Space For Filing Use Only			
Independent Auditor							
2.	Ernst & Young LLP						
3.	DESCRIPTION OF OTHER SERVICES, IF ANY, PE See Exhibit A attached hereto	RFORMED BY THE IN	NDEPENDENT AL	JDITOR NAME	D IN ITEM 2		
4.	NAME OF THE INDEPENDENT AUDITOR EMPLOY Not Applicable	'ED BY THE CORPOR	RATION ON THE I	DATE OF THIS	STATEMENT, IF DIFFER	ENT FROM ITEM 2	
Dir	rectors and Executive Officers						
5.	NAMES OF DIRECTORS	COMPENSATION	SHARES	OPTIONS	BANKRUPTCY	FRAUD	
						YES NO	
	2)					YES NO	
					YES NO	YES NO	
	IF THE CORPORATION HAS ADDITIONAL DIRECT	TORS, COMPLETE IT	EM B OF THE AT	TACHMENT (F	FORM SI-PTA).		
6a.		COMPENSATION	SHARES	OPTIONS	BANKRUPTCY	FRAUD	
	Angela Ahrendts	\$25,779,229	191,439	0	TYES 🔽 NO	YES NO	
	2) Eduardo Cue	\$25,052,241	191,439	0	YES NO	YES NO	
		\$25,337,977			YES NO	YES NO	
	4) Daniel Riccio		191,439	0		YES NO	
	5) D. Bruce Sewell		191,439	0	YES NO	YES NO	
6b.			SHARES	OPTIONS		FRAUD	
٠	Timothy Cook					YES NO	
6c.	ADDITIONAL EXECUTIVE OFFICERS (if not named						
	1)	•			BANKRUPTCY	FRAUD	
	2)				 -	FRAUD	
	3)				BANKRUPTCY	FRAUD	
	IF MORE SPACE IS NEEDED, ENTER ADDITIONA				<u> </u>		
1.0	ans to Members of the Board of Directors	a iiii a iiii iii ii ii ii ii ii ii ii i			(1 0 1 m. 0 1 1 1 4		
7.		DESCRIPTION OF L	OAN (INCLUDING	G AMOUNT AN	ID TERMS)		
-	1)		,				
	2)						
	3)	•			**************************************		
	IF THE CORPORATION HAS MADE ADDITIONAL I	LOANS TO DIRECTO	RS, COMPLETE I	ITEM C OF THE	E ATTACHMENT (FORM S	SI-PTA).	
Ad	ditional Statutory Disclosures						
8.	Has an order for relief been entered in a bankru					YES NO	
9.	Has the corporation or any of its subsidiaries be pending legal proceedings, as specified by Item	n 103, Part 229 of SI	EC Regulation S	S-K?_If yes, a	ittach a description.	YES NO	
	Has the corporation been found legally liable i yes, attach a description.					YES NO	
11.	11. By submitting this Corporate Disclosure Statement to the Secretary of State the corporation certifies the information contained herein, including any attachments, is true and correct.						
<u>C</u>	Gene Levoff		SIGNATURAL .	<u>Sr</u>	r Dir, Corp Law	2-1-16 DATE	



State of California Secretary of State

Attachment to Corporate Disclosure Statement (Domestic Stock and Foreign Corporations)

This Space For Filing Use Only

IMPORTANT — READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING THIS FORM							
A.	CORPORATE NAME Apple Inc.						
B.	Additional Directors (Continued from Item 5	on Form SI-PT)					
	NAMES OF DIRECTORS	COMPENSATION	SHARES	OPTIONS	BANKRUPTCY	FRAUD	
	o James Poll	¢0	1,007	0		D	
	4) James Bell 5) Al Gore	\$0 \$353,035	2,008	0	TYES NO	YES NO	
	Debug Year				TYES NO	YES NO	
	6) Robert Iger 7) Andrea Jung	\$373,859	2,008 2,008	0	YES NO	YES NO	
	A-th I and	\$375,322 \$559,198	2,008	0	☐YES ØNO	YES NO	
	D 11 C	\$379,661	2,008	0	TYES NO	YES NO	
	Circa a 18 fa annon	\$351,499	2,008	0	TYES NO	YES NO	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				YES NO	YES NO	
	11)			* · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	☐YES ☐NO	YES NO	
	12)				TYES INO	YES NO	
	13)				TYES NO	YES NO	
	15)				TYES THO	TES NO	
	15)				TYES NO	YES NO	
	16)				TYES TINO	TYES NO	
	17)				TES TNO	TYES THO	
	18)				TILES TWO		
_	IF THE CORPORATION HAS ADDITIONAL DIRE						
<u>C.</u>	Additional Loans to Members of the Board						
	NAMES OF DIRECTORS DESCRIPTION OF LOAN (INCLUDING AMOUNT AND TERMS)						
	4)						
	5)						
	6)						
	7)				·		
	8)						
	IF THE CORPORATION HAS MADE ADDITIONAL	LOANS TO DIRECT	ORS, ATTACH ADD	ITIONAL PAGES AS	NEEDED.		
D.	Additional Information (Please reference ite	em number from Fo	m SI-PT or Form	SI-PTA, as applica	ble)		

Apple Inc. 2015 California Corporate Disclosure Statement

EXHIBIT A

Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services

The following table shows the fees accrued or paid to Apple's independent registered public accounting firm for the years ended September 26, 2015 and September 27, 2014.

	Ernst & Young LLP		
	2015	2014 (\$)	
Audit Fees(1)	12,414,100	10,286,500	
ax Fees(3)ll Other Fees(4)	636,800	314,400	
	2,381,100	1,689,000	
	50,000		
Total	15,482,000	12,289,900	

- (1) Audit fees relate to professional services rendered in connection with the audit of Apple's annual financial statements and internal control over financial reporting, quarterly review of financial statements included in the Apple's Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q and audit services provided in connection with other statutory and regulatory filings.
- (2) Audit-related fees relate to professional services that are reasonably related to the performance of the worldwide audit or review of Apple's financial statements.
- (3) Tax fees relate to professional services rendered in connection with tax audits, international tax compliance, and international tax consulting and planning services.
- (4) All other fees relate to professional services not included in the categories above, including services related to other regulatory reporting requirements.

Apple Inc. 2015 California Corporate Disclosure Statement

EXHIBIT B

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

The Company is subject to the legal proceedings and claims discussed below as well as certain other legal proceedings and claims that have not been fully resolved and that have arisen in the ordinary course of business. In the opinion of management, there was not at least a reasonable possibility the Company may have incurred a material loss, or a material loss in excess of a recorded accrual, with respect to loss contingencies for asserted legal and other claims. However, the outcome of legal proceedings and claims brought against the Company is subject to significant uncertainty. Therefore, although management considers the likelihood of such an outcome to be remote, if one or more of these legal matters were resolved against the Company in a reporting period for amounts in excess of management's expectations, the Company's consolidated financial statements for that reporting period could be materially adversely affected. See the risk factor "The Company could be impacted by unfavorable results of legal proceedings, such as being found to have infringed on intellectual property rights" in Part I, Item 1A of this Form 10-K under the heading "Risk Factors." The Company settled certain matters during the fourth guarter of 2015 that did not individually or in the aggregate have a material impact on the Company's financial condition or operating results.

Apple eBooks Antitrust Litigation (United States of America v. Apple Inc., et al.)

On April 11, 2012, the U.S. Department of Justice filed a civil antitrust action against the Company and five major book publishers in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York, alleging an unreasonable restraint of interstate trade and commerce in violation of §1 of the Sherman Act and seeking, among other things, injunctive relief, the District Court's declaration that the Company's agency agreements with the publishers are null and void and/or the District Court's reformation of such agreements. On July 10, 2013, the District Court found, following a bench trial, that the Company conspired to restrain trade in violation of §1 of the Sherman Act and relevant state statutes to the extent those laws are congruent with §1 of the Sherman Act. The District Court entered a permanent injunction, which took effect on October 6, 2013 and will be in effect for five years unless the judgment is overturned on appeal. The Company has taken the necessary steps to comply with the terms of the District Court's order, including renegotiating agreements with the five major eBook publishers, updating its antitrust training program and completing a two-year monitorship with a court-appointed antitrust compliance monitor, whose appointment the District Court ended in October 2015. The Company appealed the District Court's decision. Pursuant to a settlement agreement reached in June 2014, any damages the Company may be obligated to pay will be determined by the outcome of the final adjudication following exhaustion of all appeals.