

State of California Secretary of State



CORPORATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

(Domestic Stock and Foreign Corporations)

There is no fee for filing the Corporate Disclosure Statement.

IMPORTANT — PLEASE READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING THIS FORM

1. CORPORATE NAME SYNNEX Corporation (C 2436132) T FILED

APR 0 4 2005

in the office of the Secretary of State of the State of California

8	This Space For Filing Use Only

INL	INDEPENDENT AUDITOR										
2.	2. NAME OF THE INDEPENDENT AUDITOR THAT PREPARED THE MOST RECENT AUDITOR'S REPORT										
	PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP										
3.	DESCRIPTION OF OTHER SERVICES, IF ANY, PERFORMED BY THE INDEPENDENT AUDITOR NAMED IN ITEM 2										
4.	NAME OF THE INDEPENDENT AUDITOR EMPLO	YED BY THE CORPO	RATION ON THE (DATE OF THIS STAT	TEMENT, IE DIEFER	ENT FROM ITEM 2					
		12001112001110									
DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS											
5.	NAMES OF DIRECTORS	COMPENSATION	SHARES	OPTIONS	BANKRUPTCY	FRAUD					
	1) Robert Huang/ CEO	\$2,200,000	0	110,000	YES NO	YES NO					
	2) Matthew Miau	\$225,000	0	50,000	YES INO	YES NO					
	3) Fred Breidenbach	\$62,000	0	5,000	YES NO	YES NO					
	IF THE CORPORATION HAS ADDITIONAL DIRECT	CTORS, COMPLETE IT	EM B OF THE AT	TACHMENT (FORM	SI-PTA).						
6a.	NAMES OF EXECUTIVE OFFICERS	COMPENSATION	SHARES	OPTIONS	BANKRUPTCY	FRAUD					
	1) John Paget	\$406,898	0	150,000	YES INO	YES NO					
	2) Peter Larocque	\$692,177	0	75,000	_ ☐YES ☑ NO	YES NO					
	3) Dennis Polk	\$379,500	0	50,000	 ☐YES	YES NO					
	4) Simon Leung	\$239,202	0	17,500	YES NO	YES NO					
	5)				YES NO	YES NO					
6b.	CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER (Complete if not nar	ned in 6a)				٠ سيا					
		,			□YES □NO	YES NO					
	110 TO MEMORIES OF THE DOADS OF THE					<u></u>					
	ANS TO MEMBERS OF THE BOARD OF DIR										
7.	NAMES OF DIRECTORS		LOAN (INCLUDING	3 AMOUNT AND TE	RMS)						
	1)										
	2)										
	3)										
	IF THE CORPORATION HAS MADE ADDITIONAL	LOANS TO DIRECTO	ORS, COMPLETE I	TEMIC OF THE ATT	ACHMENT (FORM	SI-PTA).					
ΑD	DITIONAL STATUTORY DISCLOSURES				-						
8.	Has an order for relief been entered in a bank	ruptcy case with res	pect to the corpo	ration during the p	receding 10 years	? YES NO					
9.	9. Has the corporation or any of its subsidiaries been a party to, or any of their property been subject to, any material pending legal proceedings, as specified by Item 103, Part 229 of SEC Regulation S-K? If yes, attach a description.										
10.	10. Has the corporation been found legally liable in any material legal proceeding during the preceding five years? If yes, attach a description. ✓ YES ✓ NO										
11.	By submitting this Corporate Disclosure State		ry of State, the co	orporation certifies	the information co	ntained herein,					
	including any attachments, is true and correct.										
0	imon Leung	\rightarrow /	1.	e	ogratan.	3/20/05					
	imon Leung YPE OR PRINT NAME OF PERSON COMPLETING THE F	ORM C	SIGNATURE		ecretary	DATE					
_	SI-PT (REV 03/2005) APPROVED BY SECRETARY OF STATE										
-, ,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·										



State of California Secretary of State

ATTACHMENT TO CORPORATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

(Domestic Stock and Foreign Corporations)					This Space For Filing Use Only							
	IMPORTANT — I	READ INSTRUCTION	ONS BEFORE C	OMPLETING TH	IIS FORM							
A.	CORPORATE NAME SYNNEX Corporati (C 2436132)	on										
В.	B. ADDITIONAL DIRECTORS (Continued from Item 5 on Form SI-PT)											
	NAMES OF DIRECTORS	COMPENSATION	SHARES	OPTIONS	BANKRUPTCY	FRAUD						
	4) Daivd Rynne 5) Young Sohn 6) Dwight Steffensen 7) 8) 9 10) 11) 12) 13) 14) 15) 16) 16) 17) 18) 17) 18) 18) 17				YES	YES						
C.	ADDITIONAL LOANS TO MEMBERS OF				<u>`</u>							
	NAMES OF DIRECTORS 4) 5) 6) 7) 8) IF THE CORPORATION HAS MADE ADDITION											
D.	ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (Please refe	rence item number fro	om Form SI-PT or	Form SI-PTA, as a	applicable)							

ATTACHMENT TO STATE OF CALIFORNIA CORPORATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

SYNNEX Corporation (C 2436132)

Description of Item 9 on Form SI-PT:

We are not currently a party to any material legal proceedings. We are from time to time involved in legal proceedings in the ordinary course of business, including the following:

On May 1, 2002, Seanix Technology Inc. filed a trademark infringement action in the Federal Court of Canada against us and our wholly-owned Canadian subsidiary, SYNNEX Canada Limited. The suit claims that we have infringed on Seanix's exclusive rights to its Canadian Trademark Registration and caused confusion between the two companies resulting from, among other things, our use of marks confusingly similar to the Seanix trademarks. The complaint seeks injunctive relief and monetary damages in an amount to be determined. Substantial discovery has taken place; however, no trial date has been set.

On May 7, 2002, Acropolis Systems, Inc. and Tony Yeh filed a civil suit in Santa Clara County California Superior Court against us, Robert Huang, C. Kevin Chuang and Stephen R. Bowling. The suit alleges violation of California securities laws, fraud and concealment and breach of contract resulting from, among other things, our alleged failure to disclose the existence of a lien in favor of us on the assets of eManage.com prior to entering into stock purchase agreements for shares of eManage stock. At the time of this stock purchase, we were the majority shareholder of eManage.com. The complaint seeks monetary damages in the amount of approximately \$2,000,000. Limited discovery has taken place and no trial date has been set.

On September 1, 2004, the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Texas entered judgment in favor of DSLangdale Two, LLC and DSLangdale Three, Inc. in the amount of \$4,238,318 against Daisytek (Canada) Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of EMJ Data Systems Limited, a company that we acquired in September 2004. The Texas judgment is currently under appeal. Subsequently, on November 22, 2004, plaintiffs sought to enforce the Texas judgment in the Ontario Superior Court of Justice. The Ontario court has stayed execution of the Texas judgment pending the outcome of the appeal of the Texas litigation.

In addition, we are involved in various bankruptcy preference actions where we were a supplier to the companies now in bankruptcy. For example, on March 14, 2002, the trustees of the bankruptcy estate of Inacom Corp. filed a bankruptcy preference action in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware against us. On August 6, 2004, the trustees of the bankruptcy estate of QPS, Inc. filed a bankruptcy preference action in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Central District of California against us. These preference actions are filed by the trustee of the bankruptcy estate on behalf of the bankrupt entity's debtors and generally seek to have payments made by the bankrupt entity within 90 days prior to the bankruptcy returned to the bankruptcy estate for allocation among all of the bankrupt entity's creditors. The amounts sought to be returned in the various preference actions range from \$150,000 to \$700,000. In the majority of the actions, limited discovery has taken place and some trial dates have been set.

We believe that the outcome of these actions will not have a material adverse effect on us. We may not be successful in defending these or other claims. Regardless of the outcome, litigation can result in substantial expense and could divert the efforts of our management.